people who want money without security?

Sri MALI MARIAPPA.—Many times, it is with security that loans are given.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Are Government aware that more than 80 per cent of the loans distributed under the scheme is sheer waste of public funds?

Sri MALI MARIAPPA.—It is the opinion of the Hon'ble Member.

Delay in the Construction of the Corporation Buildings in Bangalore.

Q.—3161. Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU (Cubbonpet).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) who laid the foundation-stone for the proposed new Corporation buildings in Bangalore and when;

(*b*) the reasons for the delay in commencing the construction work;

(*c*) whether tenders have been called for and accepted;

(*d*) if so, the reasons for not issuing the work order so far;

(*e*) whether the Corporation has not repeatedly resolved to expedite the starting of the construction?

A.—Sri T. SUBRAMANYA (Minister for Law, Labour and Local Government).—

(*a*) The Prime Minister of India on 6th October, 1955.

(*b*) The terms and conditions under which the land for the construction of the Corporation office buildings was granted have not yet been accepted by the Corporation.

(*c*) The Corporation has called for tenders and accepted the lowest tender but it has since been cancelled by the Corporation.

(*d*) In view of reply to clause (*c*) does not arise.

(*e*) Yes.

Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.—Is it not a fact that only after the land was handed over for the Corporation buildings, the foundation stone was laid?

*Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—The entire land was not given possession of.

Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.—In answer to (*c*), the answer is that the tender has been cancelled. May I know the reasons why, if it was cancelled, that fresh tenders were not called for and work undertaken?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—The tenders were called for but they were cancelled, because the whole scheme was not finalised. As to wherefrom they would get money to pay Rs. 20 per square yard was not settled and the whole scheme has been kept in abeyance.

Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.—Is it not a fact that the Government of India handed over that entire site, free of cost, at the instance of the Corporation?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—Not at the instance of the Corporation.

Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.—Was it not at the request and the negotiations done by the Corporation with the Centre that the Centre decided to hand over the site?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—They may have also played their part very well.

Action taken regarding the Missing Iron Beams of Vanivilas Bridge in T.-Narasipur.

Q.—3192. Sri T. P. BORIAH (Kollegal).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether it has come to their notice that some angle irons are missing from the iron frames in the sides of the Vanivilas bridge across the Kapila river in T.-Narasipur;

(*b*) whether they have got them removed or they have been stolen;

(*c*) if they have got them removed, the reasons for doing so;

(*d*) if they have been stolen, the steps they have taken in the matter?

A.—Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA (Minister for Public Works and Electricity).—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) They have been stolen.

(*c*) Does not arise in view of reply to (*b*) above.